1		THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	MARCO ZALDIVAR and ROBERT ERIC BURRESS, on Behalf of Themselves and	No. 07-cv-1695 RAJ
10 11	Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH
12	V.	PREJUDICE
13	T-MOBILE USA, INC.,	
14	Defendant.	
15		I
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
2324		
25		
26		
	1	



This matter came before the Court for hearing on March 5, 2010, pursuant to the Order
Granting Provisional Certification of Settlement Class and Preliminary Approval of Class Action
Settlement Agreement (dated Sept. 4, 3009, Ct. Rec. 167) ("Preliminary Approval Order"), on
the application of the settling parties for approval of the settlement set forth in the Stipulation of
Settlement Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 (filed June 5, 2009, Ct. Rec. 160) ("Settlement
Agreement"). Due and adequate notice having been given of the settlement as required in said
Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings held herein, including
the objection(s) to the proposed settlement or fee application, and otherwise being fully informed
in the premises and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED
AND DECREED that:

- This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement
 Agreement, and all terms used herein shall have the same meanings set forth in the Settlement
 Agreement.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all parties to the Action, including all Members of the Class.
- 3. Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(2), the Court certifies the proposed Settlement Class for the purposes of the settlement.
- 4. The Court appoints Plaintiffs Marco Zaldivar and Robert Eric Burress as the Named Plaintiffs for the Settlement Class.
- 5. The Court designates Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class.
- 6. This Court finds and concludes that the applicable requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and 23(b)(2) have been satisfied with respect to the Settlement Class and settlement, and specifically, that: (a) the number of members of the Settlement Class are so numerous that joinder of all members thereof is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class; (c) Named Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the



Settlement Class they seek to represent; (d) Named Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented and protected the interests of the Settlement Class and will continue to do so; and (e) Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the class.

7. The Action is permanently certified as a class action on behalf of the following persons (the "Settlement Class"):

All current and former T-Mobile subscribers in the United States who are or were parties to a contract for a wireless telephone personal account and who never sent a text message but received, were charged for and paid for text messages while not on a rate plan or value bundle plan that included a fixed or unlimited number of text messages per month, at any time during the period October 1, 2003, to September 4, 2009.

- 8. This certification is for settlement purposes only and shall not constitute, nor be construed as, an admission on the part of the Defendant that this Action, or any other proposed or certified class action, is appropriate for any other purpose, including, without limitation, for trial class treatment.
- 9. Except as to any individual claim of those Persons who have validly and timely requested exclusion from the Class, the Action and all claims contained therein, including all of the Released Claims, are dismissed with prejudice as to the Named Plaintiffs and the other Members of the Class, and as against each and all of the Released Persons. The parties are to bear their own costs, except as otherwise provided in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. Pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby approves the settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate to, and is in the best interests of, the Named Plaintiffs, the Class and each of the Class Members. This Court further finds the settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement is the result of arm's-length negotiations between experienced counsel representing the interests of the Named Plaintiffs, the Class Members and the Defendant. Accordingly, the settlement embodied in the Settlement Agreement is hereby approved in all

respects and shall be consummated in accordance with its terms and provisions. The settling parties are hereby directed to perform the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

- 11. Upon the Effective Date, the Named Plaintiffs and each of the Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged all Released Claims against the Released Parties.
- 12. Upon the Effective Date, all Class Members and anyone claiming through or on behalf of any of them, will be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, prosecuting, or continuing to prosecute any action or other proceeding in any court of law or equity, arbitration tribunal, or administrative forum, asserting the Released Claims against any of the Released Parties.
- 13. Upon the Effective Date hereof, each of the Released Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged the Named Plaintiffs, each and all of the Class Members, and Class Counsel from all claims (including unknown claims), arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement or resolution of the Action or the Released Claims.
- 14. The distribution of the notice as provided for in the Preliminary Approval Order and the Stipulation and Order Supplementing September 4, 2009 Order Granting Provisional Certification of Settlement Class and Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement Agreement (filed Oct. 2, 2009, Ct. Rec. 169) constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all Members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable effort. Said notice provided the best notice practicable under the circumstances of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, to all Persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the requirements of due process, and any other applicable law.



- 15. The Court hereby approves the Participation Awards in the amount of \$2,000 to Plaintiff Marco Zaldivar and \$1,000 to Plaintiff Robert Eric Burress in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and finds that such awards are fair and reasonable.
- 16. The Court hereby awards to Class Counsel an award of (a) attorneys' fees in the amount of \$688,770.45; and (b) reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$36,229.55. In making this award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses, in the amounts described in this paragraph, the Court has considered and finds as follows:
- (a) The settlement has provided a significant amount of remedial relief to the Settlement Class, in addition to a charitable contribution to a *cy pres* recipient.
- (b) Notice of the settlement was sent to over 1.7 million class members. Only two objections were filed against the terms of the proposed settlement.
- (c) Class Counsel have conducted the Action and achieved the settlement with skill, perseverance and diligent advocacy on behalf of the Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class as a whole.
- (d) The Action involves complex factual and legal issues and, in the absence of Settlement, would involve further lengthy proceedings and uncertain resolution of such issues.
- (e) Had settlement not been achieved, there would remain a significant risk that the Settlement Class may have recovered less or nothing from Defendant, and that any recovery would have been significantly delayed.
- (f) The amount of attorneys' fees and reimbursable expenses awarded to Class Counsel is fair and reasonable, given the number of attorney hours expended to achieve the settlement on behalf of Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class as a whole, and the estimated value of the settlement benefits obtained for the Settlement Class, and the amount awarded is consistent with awards for similar work in similar cases.
- 17. Neither the Settlement Agreement, nor any action taken pursuant to the Settlement Agreement or to implement its terms shall in any event be: (1) construed as, offered



or admitted in evidence as, received as and/or deemed to be, evidence for any purpose, other than
such proceedings which may be necessary to consummate or enforce the terms of the Settlement,
except that the Released Parties may file the Final Judgment in any action that may be brought
against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of res judicata,
collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction; or (2) disclosed or
referred to for any purpose or offered or received in evidence, in any further proceeding in the
Action, or any other civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding against Defendant or
any of the Released Parties.

- 18. Neither the Settlement Agreement, nor the settlement contained therein, nor any action taken pursuant to the Settlement Agreement or to implement its terms, is or may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission by or against the Named Plaintiffs that any of their claims in the action are or were without merit.
- 19. The Court finds that during the course of the Action, the settling parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.
- 20. In the event that the settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement or the Effective Date does not occur, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.

25

26

Case 2:07-cv-01695-RAJ Document 185 Filed 02/12/10 Page 7 of 10

1	21. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order and Final Judgment and
2	immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed pursuant to Rule 54(a) of the
3	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	
6	DATED:
7	THE HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	Submitted by:
9	DATED: February 12, 2010
10	
11	/s/ Jeff D. Friedman
12	JEFF D. FRIEDMAN (<i>Pro hac vice</i>)
13	Shana E. Scarlett (<i>Pro hac vice</i>) HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
14	715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202
15	Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 725-3000 Facinity (510) 725-3001
16	Facsimile: (510) 725-3001 jefff@hbsslaw.com
17	shanas@hbsslaw.com
18	Steve W. Berman (12536) HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
19	1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98101
20	Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594
21	steve@hbsslaw.com
22	Class Counsel
23	
24	
25	
26	



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 12, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses registered, as denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List.

/s/ Jeff D. Friedman

JEFF D. FRIEDMAN

[PROP.] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE – 8 Case No. 07-cv-1695 RAJ



Mailing Information for a Case 2:07-cv-01695-RAJ

Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

• Steve W. Berman

steve@hbsslaw.com,heatherw@hbsslaw.com,carrie@hbsslaw.com

Peter J Bezek

pbezek@foleybezek.com,jkassity@foleybezek.com,cconnors@foleybezek.com

• Jeffrey D Friedman

jefff@hbsslaw.com

• J Paul Gignac

j.paul@aogllp.com,kgrombacher@aogllp.com

Shelley Hall

smh@stokeslaw.com,tom.makey@stokeslaw.com,dll@stokeslaw.com

Karolyn Ann Hicks

kah@stokeslaw.com,gno@stokeslaw.com

• Scott A.W. Johnson

sawi@stokeslaw.com,klc@stokeslaw.com,wah@stokeslaw.com

Reed R Kathrein

reed@hbsslaw.com,sf_filings@hbsslaw.com

Murray Lewis

lewislawseattle@yahoo.com,lewislawfirm@msn.com

• Shana E Scarlett

shanas@hbsslaw.com,sf_filings@hbsslaw.com,jeneld@hbsslaw.com

Michael W Sobol

msobol@lchb.com

• Barbra L Williams

bwilliams@lchb.com

Manual Notice List

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

• (No manual recipients)